

Centre for Energy and **Environmental Markets**



High-risk Scenarios for wind power forecasting in Australia

Nicholas Cutler (n.cutler@unsw.edu.au), Merlinde Kay, Hugh Outhred and Iain MacGill - University of NSW, Sydney

ABSTRACT

- Objective: To characterise high-risk scenarios in wind power output for power system security and market stability in Australia.
- Preliminary results on the following topics are presented:
 - **A study of the wind climate affecting wind power** output at various wind farms.

Distinct pattern for wind farms in South Australia

Two common weather regimes are observed over one year.

- 1. High pressure systems lie south-west and south-east of Australia. The power varies during these periods depending on if a low/frontal system in the southern ocean moves north enough so that the wind farms receive some high winds.
- 2.A high lies in the Bight. The wind farm power is typically near zero except Jan-March when sea breezes can give some periodic power to some of the wind farms.

A ramp in wind power is much more likely in regime 1 than in regime 2.







- Identification of potential high-risk wind events.
- **Assessment of the performance of 2 contrasting**, **commercially available forecasting techniques.**
- Future work: developing ramp forecasting techniques as a complement to traditional approaches.

Identification of high-risk events

- Detected in hourly averaged power observations as when the power difference exceeds a threshold (eg. 75% of rated power) within 3 hours.
- Main causes: wind speed ramps from fronts, troughs and low pressure systems, as well as high wind speed shut down.

Forecasting high-risk events

Figure 1: Aggregated wind power from 5 wind farms in South Australia for 6 days in March 2006. Two MSLP charts shown, with corresponding times.

Error for whole year compared with during ramps

- RMSE is decomposed so that $RMSE^2 = BIAS^2 + SDBIAS^2 + DISP^2[1]$. Dispersion (DISP) represents the error that is irremovable with standard statistical techniques.
- Weprog MSEPS [2, 3] and WPPT [4]/MesoLAPS [5] have similar RMSE during ramp periods, but only Weprog improves significantly for periods ±6 hours.
- Weprog at 7-30 hours prediction horizon has lower RMSE for ramps ±6 hours than for whole year, probably due to extended periods of zero and full rated periods before and after ramps, which would have a low forecast error.

- Forecasting systems based on NWPs and learning algorithms may not forecast these events well because:
 - Output in the spatial resolution of NWPs may be too coarse,
 - One of the events are too rare to support an effective learning process,
 - Forecasts of these events may mistime or smooth out a ramp, or mask the event completely.

Figure 3: RMSE, BIAS, SD-BIAS and DISP (dispersion) at Woolnorth for 2 different forecasting systems, the first with two different prediction horizon ranges. Tested on whole 1 yr period (a), During periods of 11 ramps (b) and during the 11 ramp periods with 6 hours

Complimentary Forecasting Technique

• Most wind power forecasting systems only utilise the nearest grid point wind speed forecast from a NWP.

We plan to look for information to indicate extreme events in the 3-D field of forecasts of all variables

Figure 2: Example of forecasting smoothing of a high-risk scenario at the Woolnorth wind farm in Tasmania, with the forecasts from two commercially available forecasting systems.

References

- . Lange, M. and U. Focken, Physical Approach to Short-Term Wind Power Prediction. 2006, Oldenburg: Springer.
- 2. Möhrlen, C. and J. Jørgensen, Forecasting Wind Power in High Wind Penetration Markets, Using Multi-Scheme Ensemble Prediction Methods, in DEWEK. 2006: Bremen, Germany.
- 3. Möhrlen, C. and J. Jørgensen, Special Project Interim Report 4, in Verification of Ensemble Prediction Systems for a New Market: Wind Energy. 2006, National University of Ireland, University College Cork: Cork. p. 10.
- 4. Madsen, H., H.A. Nielsen, and T.S. Nielsen, A tool for predicting wind power production of off-shore wind plants, in Copenhagen Offshore Wind. 2005: Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 5. Puri, K., et al., The new BMRC Limited Area Prediction System, LAPS. Australian Meteorological Magazine, 1998. 47(3): p. 203-223.

from the NWP.

- Uncertain rapid changes are often smoothed out in NWP forecasts due to its coarse spatial resolution and optimisation algorithms that minimise overall error.
- ♦ We hope to develop an algorithm to focus solely on the extreme events, as a complimentary forecast.

Figure 4: Diagram of the locations of some NWP grid points around a couple of wind farms.
